

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

10/086,749

MONTAGUE, WILLIAM A.

Anatoly Vortman

2835

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Francis J. Caufield, Reg. No. 27, 425.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

33

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner had pointed out that claim 33 as amended does not include all of the limitations of claim 8, subject matter of which (i.e. of claim 8) should have been included in said claim 33 in it's entirety. Therefore, claim 33 had been amended accordingly in order to correct the aforementioned deficiency. For details, please see the Examiner's amendment attached herewith. .



ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER